

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 1		
10/751,032 01/02/2004		Yulun Wang	157438-0020	3705	
1622 7	7590 06/23/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
IRELL & MANELLA LLP			MARC, MCDIEUNEL		
840 NEWPOR SUITE 400	840 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE SUITE 400 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT B				3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10	/751,032	WANG ET AL.
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit
		Mo	Dieunel Marc	3661
	LING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep. - If NO period for rep. - Faiture to reply with Any reply received earned patent term Status 1) Respons 2a) This action 3) Since this closed in Disposition of Cla 4) Claim(s)	DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ly specified above is less than thirty (sly is specified above, the maximum shin the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Tive to communication(s) fill on is FINAL . Is application is in condition accordance with the pract	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within tatutory period will apply will, by statute, caus after the mailing date ed on 02 May 2 2b) This action for allowance of the ince under Ex parapplication.	e the application to become ABANDON of this communication, even if timely file on is non-final. Except for formal matters, parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, and the Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, and t	timely filed ays will be considered timely. in the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). led, may reduce any erosecution as to the merits is
6)⊠ Claim(s) 7)□ Claim(s) 8)□ Claim(s) Application Paper 9)□ The speci	fication is objected to by th	ne Examiner.	,	
Applicant Replacem	may not request that any objection ent drawing sheet(s) including	ection to the draw	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•
Priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co	Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority rtified copies of the priority pies of the certified copies olication from the Internation	documents had documents had of the priority donal Bureau (PC	ve been received in Applica locuments have been recei	ation No ved in this National Stage
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. *See In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032

Art Unit: 3661

3. Claim 1 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 86 of copending Application No. 10/913,650, wherein the present application is broader than the copending application.

Serial No. 10/751,032

Claim 1. A method for monitoring a patient, comprising: generating a robot movement input command at a remote station; transmitting the robot movement input command; receiving the robot movement input command at a robot that has a camera and a microphone; moving the robot to view and hear a patient; and, transmitting an image of the patient and a sound of the patient from the robot to the remote station.

Claim 11. A method for monitoring a patient, comprising: generating a plurality of robot movement input commands at a remote station; transmitting the robot movement input commands; receiving the robot movement input commands at a robot that has a camera and a microphone; moving the robot from a first patient room to a second patient room of a

Serial No. 10/913,650

Claim 86, A method that allows a person to remotely visit a patient located in a healthcare facility, comprising:

accessing a robot from a remote station; capturing video and audio of the patient by the robot; transmitting the video and audio of the patient to the remote station; displaying the video of the patient at the remote station; generating the audio of the patient at the remote station; capturing video and audio of the person at the remote station transmitting the video and audio of the person to the robot; displaying the video of the person on a monitor of the robot; generating the audio of the person at the robot; transmitting a command from the remote station to move the robot; and, moving the robot response a surface of the healthcare facility in response to the transmitted command.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032

Art Unit: 3661

medical facility; and, transmitting an image of a patient and a sound of the patient from the robot to the remote station.

As noted on the table, the only differences between claims 1 and 11 of the present application claim 86of the co-pending application is the minor deviation of language of the limitations including the preamble for instance, one recites "A method that allows a person to remotely visit a patient" and the other recites "A method for monitoring a patient, comprising: (and the limitation further comprising) generating a robot movement input command at a remote station".

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the robot type of the copending applicant with the patient monitoring method of Wang *et al.*, 032' because this modification would have been a desirable features into the copending application in order to provide language variation, thereby improving the efficiency and the reliability of the patient monitoring method.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

It is well settled that the omission of an element, and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969). Omission of a reference element or step whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Dependent claims not specifically rejected are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ghodoussi et al. (US 20060047365A1).

As per claims 1 and 11, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a system and an associated method having a tele-medicine system that transmits an entire state of a subsystem which includes a method for monitoring a patient (see fig. 1) note that the monitoring has been shown in the surgeon console, comprising:

generating a robot movement input command at a remote station (see fig. 1); transmitting the robot movement input command (see figs. 1 and 7); receiving the robot movement input command at a robot that has a camera and a microphone (see figs. 1, 7 and sections [0007, 0029 and 0033]); moving the robot to view and hear a patient (see sections [0007, 0029 and 0033]); and, transmitting an image of the patient and a sound of the patient from the robot to the remote station (see sections [0007, 0029 and 0033] as noted above).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 2, Ghodoussi et al. teaches a robot, wherein the robot moves across a floor of a medical facility.

As per claim 3 and 12, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot, wherein the robot movement input command is generated by a doctor.

As per claims 4 and 13, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot that further comprising transmitting a video image and a sound of a doctor at the remote station to the robot, the video image being displayed by a monitor of the robot, the sound being generated by a speaker of the robot.

As per claims 5 and 14, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot, wherein the robot movement input command causes the robot camera to zoom relative to the patient.

As per claims 6 and 15, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot that further comprising transmitting a video image of a medical chart from the robot to the remote station.

As per claims 7, 8, 16 and 17, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot that further comprising generating and transmitting a question from the remote station to the robot; and wherein the question is generated by a speaker of the robot (see figs. 1, 7 and sections [0007, 0029 and 0033]) note that the voice command being considered as any type of voice including question.

As per claims 9 and 18, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot, wherein the question is displayed by a monitor of the robot (see figs. 1 and 7).

As per claims 10 and 19, **Ghodoussi et al.** teaches a robot, wherein the robot movement input command is transmitted through a broadband network (see fig. 7, element 148).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,032 Page 7

Art Unit: 3661

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Examiner

Art Unit 3661

Thursday, May 25, 2006 MM/

HUWAS G. BLACK KAMINI HUWAS G. BLACK FRVISORY PATENT 600